

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/595,550</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) NATARAJAN ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner NICKOLAS HARM</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1791</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/595,550	Applicant(s) NATARAJAN ET AL.	Examiner NICKOLAS HARM	Art Unit 1791
Application No. 10/595,550	Applicant(s) NATARAJAN ET AL.				
Examiner NICKOLAS HARM	Art Unit 1791				

All Participants: _____ Status of Application: _____

(1) NICKOLAS HARM. (3) _____

(2) Wenjie Lee. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 December 2009 Time: 11:48

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed:
1-2, 4-8, 10-14, 16-19, 21-25

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed allowable and non-allowable subject matter with applicant's representative and representative elected to cancel product claims 14, 16-19, and 21-25.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)